



Boghosian+Allen LLP • Litigation Counsel • Suite 1000, 65 Queen Street West, Toronto, ON M5H 2M5 Tel: 416-367-5558 Fax: 416-368-1010 • www.boglaw.ca

## FAX TRANSMISSION COVER PAGE

Name	Firm	Fax Number
Ms. Sabrina Lombardi	McKenzie Lake Lawyers	1.519.672.2674
Ms. Loretta Merritt	Torkin Manes	1.888.497.8644

**FROM:** David G. Boghosian  
**DATE:** March 24, 2020  
**FILE NAME:** FCC/GCNA re: Grenville Christian College  
**FILE NO.:** 47-103  
**NO. OF PAGES:** 12 (including cover page)

If you have any difficulties with this transmission, please contact Kamie Algoo at 416-367-5558 ext. 411.

---

**Comments:**

Please refer to attached documents.

This facsimile message is intended only for the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any distribution of the description or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original to us by postal service without making a copy.



Boghosian+Associates Litigation Counsel, Suite 1000, 65 Queen Street West, Toronto, ON M5H 2M6 Tel: 416-367-5558 Fax: 416-368-1010 www.boglaw.ca

David G. Boghosian, LL.M.  
Direct Tel: 416-367-5558 ext. 211  
Email: [dgb@boglaw.ca](mailto:dgb@boglaw.ca)

March 24, 2020

VIA FAX

**Sabrina Lombardi**  
McKenzie Lake Lawyers  
140 Fullarton Street, Suite 1800  
London, Ontario N6A 5P2  
*Lawyers for the Plaintiffs*

**Loretta Merritt**  
Torkin Manes Barristers and Solicitors  
151 Yonge Street, Suite 1500  
Toronto ON M5C 2W7  
*Lawyers for the Plaintiffs*

Dear Counsel:

**RE: Grenville Christian College et al. ats. Lisa Cavanaugh et al.**  
**Our File No. 47-103**

Enclosed please find our Notice of Appeal, and Appellant's Certificate, which is served on you pursuant to the Rules of Civil Procedure.

Yours very truly/

David G. Boghosian

DGB/vk  
*Encls.*

Cc: Paul Pape – Via Fax  
Pape Chaudry LLP  
Co-counsel for the Appellants/Defendants

Court File No.

**COURT OF APPEAL FOR ONTARIO**

**BETWEEN:**

**LISA CAVANAUGH, ANDREW HALE-BYRNE, RICHARD VAN  
DUSEN, MARGARET GRANGER and TIM BLACKLOCK**  
Plaintiffs/Respondents

and

**GRENVILLE CHRISTIAN COLLEGE, THE INCORPORATED  
SYNOD OF THE DIOCESE OF ONTARIO, CHARLES  
FARNSWORTH, BETTY FARNSWORTH, JUDY HAY, Executrix of  
the Estate of J. ALASTAIR HAIG and MARY HAIG**  
Defendants/Appellants

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**

**THE APPELLANTS** appeal to the Court of Appeal from the Judgment of the Honourable Madam Justice Janet Leiper dated February 26, 2020, made at Toronto, Ontario.

**THE APPELLANT ASKS THAT THE JUDGMENT BE SET ASIDE AND JUDGMENT BE GRANTED AS FOLLOWS:**

- (a) Dismissing the action;
- (b) Alternatively to (a), varying the Judgment to specify and narrow the acts of the Appellants that breached the applicable standard of care compared to that found by the Learned Trial Judge;
- (c) Costs be awarded to the Appellants for this appeal and the trial below;
- (d) Such further and other relief or direction as This Honourable Court may deem just.

**THE GROUNDS OF APPEAL ARE AS FOLLOWS:**

- (a) The Learned Trial Judge made a palpable and overriding error in utterly ignoring the evidence lead by the Appellants at trial regarding the common issues before her;
- (b) The Learned Trial Judge made a palpable and overriding error in concluding, in paragraph 329 of her Reasons, that the evidence of the Appellants' witnesses as to what life was like at Grenville was "remarkably consistent" with the evidence of the Respondents' witnesses, when in fact the evidence tendered by the respective parties was diametrically opposed;
- (c) The Learned Trial Judge erred in law in directing herself with respect to the evidence of the Respondents' expert witnesses that she had no choice but to accept their evidence in their entirety and conduct no assessment of their credibility and reliability because the Defendants did not call their own expert witnesses;
- (d) The Learned Trial Judge erred in law in holding that if evidence of a defence witness was consistent on a particular point with the evidence of a plaintiff witness, that served to corroborate all of the evidence of the plaintiff witness;
- (e) The Learned Trial Judge misapprehended the evidence and the parties' respective positions in holding that it was not the Respondents' case that the Class suffered harm as a result of an atmosphere of fear and humiliation;
- (f) The Learned Trial Judge made a palpable and overriding error in failing to discuss the "Blue Room" incident in her Reasons and address the major issue that such evidence created with respect to the credibility of the Respondents Lisa Cavanagh and Margaret Granger;

- (g) The Learned Trial Judge erred in law in failing to articulate the definition of “systemic” negligence that she was applying, and in effectively applying the wrong test for systemic negligence;
- (h) The Learned Trial Judge erred in law in finding that any regular practice of discipline at Grenville qualified as “systemic” whereas Supreme Court of Canada caselaw defines systemic as an act of abuse toward the entire class;
- (i) The Learned Trial Judge erred in law in relying on the holding in *Cloud v. Canada* to support her systemic negligence analysis;
- (j) The Learned Trial Judge made an error in law in concluding in paragraph 340 of her Reasons that because, on her finding, the “mission” of Grenville was to apply the philosophies of the Community of Jesus and to enforce a way of living among its students using violence, shaming and humiliation of students who were insufficiently obedient, too haughty or proud, any practice, the means of enforcement fell below the standard of care, regardless how one-off or consistent with the practices at other private schools of the day;
- (k) The Learned Trial Judge erred in finding that teaching certain philosophies about life, in particular, regarding human sexuality amounted to systemic negligence thereby rendering any action taken in furtherance of the teaching of those philosophies to be systemic negligence;
- (l) The Learned Trial Judge made a palpable and overriding error in applying current day standards of care of educational institutions to the conduct of Grenville in the 1970’s, 1980’s and 1990’s.
- (m) The Learned Trial Judge made a palpable and overriding in holding that every student who was subjected to corporal punishment at Grenville had been the victim of a breach of the applicable standard of care, regardless

of the severity of the punishment or when that punishment had been administered;

- (n) The Learned Trial Judge made a palpable and overriding in holding that every student ever subjected to "Discipline" (or "D") at Grenville had been the victim of a breach of the applicable standard of care;
- (o) The Learned Trial Judge made a palpable and overriding in holding that any assault by Grenville staff of a student was systemic in nature and fell below the applicable standard of care, regardless of the nature and severity;
- (p) The Learned Trial Judge made a palpable and overriding in holding that every public light session fell below the applicable standard of care;
- (q) The Learned Trial Judge made a palpable and overriding in holding that public light sessions were a systemic practice;
- (r) The Learned Trial Judge made a palpable and overriding error in holding that private corrective sessions were systemic;
- (s) The Learned Trial Judge made a palpable and overriding in holding that all private corrective light sessions fell below the applicable standard of care, regardless how restrained or reasonable the session was;
- (t) The Learned Trial Judge made a palpable and overriding in holding that taking students to the boiler room to observe the "flames of hell" was a systemic practice;
- (u) The Learned Trial Judge made a palpable and overriding in holding that Grenville's teachings regarding sexuality fell below the applicable standard of care;
- (v) The Learned Trial Judge erred in holding that Grenville's treatment of homosexuality fell below the standard of care;

- (w) The Learned Trial Judge made a palpable and overriding in holding that Grenville's teachings about sexuality were actionable given the private school's constitutional freedom to express its views and its right to freedom of religion, including the adoption of traditional Christian teachings;
- (x) The conclusion of the Learned Trial Judge that the philosophies of Grenville somehow fell below some standard of care represents an unjustified intrusion into the constitutional freedom of expression and religion enjoyed by Grenville Christian College as a private religious institution;
- (y) The Learned Trial Judge made a palpable and overriding error in holding that Grenville's teachings and philosophies were actionable given the private school's constitutional freedom to express its views and its right to freedom of religion;
- (z) The Learned Trial Judge erred in law in failing to set out in her conclusions (para. 371) any particulars of what conduct on the part of Grenville breached the duty of care owed to the Class;
- (aa) The Learned Trial Judge erred in holding that Grenville breached the fiduciary duty it owed to students of the school;
- (bb) Rules 61 and 62 of the *Rules of Civil Procedure*;
- (cc) Such further and other grounds as counsel may advise and This Honourable Court may permit.

**THE BASIS OF THE APPELLATE COURT'S JURISDICTION IS:**

Final Judgment of a Justice of the Superior Court within the monetary jurisdiction of the Court of Appeal, pursuant to Section 6.(1)(b) of the *Courts of Justice Act*.

1. The Judgment appealed from is final.
2. Leave to Appeal is not required.



March 25, 2020

**PAPE CHAUDHURY LLP**  
150 York Street  
Suite 1701  
Toronto, Ontario M5H 3S5

**Paul Pape – LSO #12548P**  
Tel: (416) 364-8755  
Fax (416) 364-8855

Co-counsel for the Appellants/Defendants

**BOGHOSIAN + ALLEN LLP**  
Litigation Counsel  
65 Queen Street West, Suite 1000  
Toronto, Ontario M5H 2M5

**David G. Boghosian – LSO #28922P**  
Tel: (416) 367-5558  
Fax: (416) 368-1010

Co-counsel for the Appellants/Defendants

Court File No.

**COURT OF APPEAL FOR ONTARIO**

**B E T W E E N :**

LISA CAVANAUGH, ANDREW HALE-BYRNE, RICHARD VAN  
DUSEN, MARGARET GRANGER and TIM BLACKLOCK

Plaintiffs/Respondents

and

GRENVILLE CHRISTIAN COLLEGE, THE INCORPORATED  
SYNOD OF THE DIOCESE OF ONTARIO, CHARLES  
FARNSWORTH, BETTY FARNSWORTH, JUDY HAY, Executrix of  
the Estate of J. ALASTAIR HAIG and MARY HAIG

Defendants/Appellants

**APPELLANTS' CERTIFICATE**

The Appellants certify that the following evidence is required for the appeal, in the Appellants' opinion:

1. Exhibits numbers: All numbered Exhibits.
2. The Affidavit evidence of: N/A.
3. The oval evidence of: All witnesses who testified *viva voce* at the trial.

March 24, 2020

**PAPE CHAUDHURY LLP**  
150 York Street  
Suite 1701  
Toronto, Ontario M5H 3S5

**BOGHOSIAN + ALLEN LLP**  
Litigation Counsel  
65 Queen Street West, Suite 1000  
Toronto, Ontario M5H 2M5

**Paul Pape – LSO #12548P**  
Tel: (416) 364-8755  
Fax (416) 364-8855

**David G. Boghosian – LSO #28922P**  
Tel: (416) 367-5558  
Fax: (416) 368-1010

Co-counsel for the Appellants/Defendants

Co-counsel for the Appellants/Defendants

LISA CAVANAGH ET AL  
Plaintiffs/Respondents

GRENVILLE CHRISTIAN COLLEGE ET AL  
Defendants/Appellants

Court File No.

**COURT OF APPEAL FOR ONTARIO**

Proceeding commenced at Toronto

**APPELLANTS' CERTIFICATE**

**BOGHOSIAN + ALLEN LLP**  
Litigation Counsel  
Suite 1000, 65 Queen Street West  
Toronto, Ontario M5H 2M5

**David G. Boghosian – LSO #28922P**

Tel: (416) 367-5558

Fax: (416) 368-1010

Co-counsel for the Appellants/Defendants

**PAPE CHAUDHURY LLP**  
Litigation Counsel  
Suite 1701, 150 York Street  
Toronto, Ontario M5H 3S5

**Paul Pape – LSO #12548P**

Tel: (416) 364-8755

Fax: (416) 364-8855

Co-counsel for the Appellants/Defendants

LESLIE GAIL LLOYD and  
 JASON LLOYD  
 Respondents/Respondents

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF GREATER  
 NAPANEE et al.  
 Defendants/Appellants

Court File No.

**COURT OF APPEAL FOR ONTARIO**

Proceeding commenced at Toronto

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**

**BOGHOSIAN + ALLEN LLP**  
 Litigation Counsel  
 Suite 1000, 65 Queen Street West  
 Toronto, Ontario M5H 2M5

**David G. Boghosian – LSO #28922P**

Tel: (416) 367-5558  
 Fax: (416) 368-1010

Co-counsel for the Appellants/Defendants

**PAPE CHAUDHURY LLP**  
 Litigation Counsel  
 Suite 1701, 150 York Street  
 Toronto, Ontario M5H 3S5

**Paul Pape – LSO #12548P**

Tel: (416) 364-8755  
 Fax: (416) 364-8855

Co-counsel for the Appellants/Defendants